


Is this
the real
reason




to oppose
the mill

- Both the RPDC process and the Commonwealth Assessment recognised that forests both planted and native (the source of the pulp wood) were subject to sustainable management under the Regional Forest Agreement and as such the social, economic and environmental impacts are already being controlled so as to have no significant adverse impacts.
- The Federal court has confirmed that this recognition is valid
- The political and social movement activists represented by the Greens and the Wilderness society totally reject this approach.

Conservation Reserves



1982



1992

Forest management and conservation is totally different to the time immediately before and after Wesley Vale

2007



Source Forestry Tasmania 2007 Sustainable Forest Management in Tasmania

One Million Hectares of Old Growth Reserved by the Tasmanian Community Forest Agreement



A hectare is 100metres by 100 m or 100 ha = 1 square kilometre

The grassed playing surface of the Melbourne Cricket Ground is 1.8 ha

No logs from old growth forests will be used as feedstock for the Pulp Mill



Things are not as black as they
appeared in 1989



The forest reborn and regrowing in 2006